

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/459,522	12/13/1999	CHET M. CRUMP	041861-01500	1246
23556	7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.			EREZO, DARWIN P	
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3731	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementor of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPF 1.13(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SK (9) MONTHS from the mailing state of this content of the conte		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Darwin P. Erczo  3731  Darwin P. Erczo  3731	Office Action Surrence	09/459,522					
- The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exemisor for many be available under the previous of 37 FRT 1:36(i). In an avent, however, may a reply be mindy filled  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory puriod will apply and will expire 3fX (6) MONTHs from the malting date of this communication.  Faller for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory puriod will apply and will expire 3fX (6) MONTHs from the malting date of this communication.  Faller for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory puriod will apply and will expire 3fX (6) MONTHs from the malting date of this communication, are in fundy filled, may reduce any secure specified to the office liter from the manimal statutory and apply and will expire 3fX (6) MONTHs from the malting date of this communication, are in fundy filled, may reduce any secure and statutory are some statutory and apply and will expire 3fX (6) MONTHs from the malting date of this communication, are subjected to a 13 January 2006.  Zalp This action is FINAL. Zb   This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4:25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1. and 4:25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on 1. is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The drawing(s) filled on 1. is/are: a) coccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  10)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estimation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(d). In an orwant, Intervers, may a reply be timely filled.  Elifoperation for may be specified before the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(d). In an orwant, Intervers, may a reply be timely filled.  Elifoperation for may be specified before the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(d). In an orwant, Intervers, may a reply be timely filled in the mainting date of this communication.  Falure to reply which the set or extended peaced for reply well, by falulate, cause the application to become a BANCONEO (35 U.S. C. § 132). And reply replaced by the Office later further later the mainting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any strategy and provided by the Office later further later town separations.  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 13 January 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4.25 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4.25 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4.25 is/are pending in the application.  5) Claim(s) 1 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elamanizar dism may be available under the provisions of 37 FR1 1360, in no event, inverser, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to very without his to at or excented period for signy till, by falluris, cause the application become absNRODER (8) 80 S. C § 133).  Any reply received by the Office Store than three months after the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to very within the star or excented period for signy till, by falluris, cause the application become absNRODER (8) SIX C § 133).  Any reply received by the Office Store than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any canned parted than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  Status  1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2006.  2a) ■ This action is FINAL.  2b) ■ This action is non-final.  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ■ Claim(s) 1 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ■ Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.  6) ■ Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.  6) ■ Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are allowed.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)·(d) or (f).  a) □ Certified copies of the prior	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1 and 4.25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14.17 and 19.25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Hormator Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)  4) Information Transplace Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-17 and 19-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * o) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ International Bareau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Paper No(s)/Mail Date  9) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of International Discourse Stateme	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-17 and 19-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * o) ☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☐ Notice of Toritoperson's Patent Torwing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Toritoperson's Patent Torwing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Toritoperson's Patent Torwing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Toritoperson's Patent Torwing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice o	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	nuary 200 <u>6</u> .	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	<del>-</del>					
4)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-25 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	,				
5) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-17 and 19-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,— ,,—	·—····································					
6) Claim(s) 7,4-17 and 19-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Pto-1949 or PTO/SB/08) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Profesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
Application Papers  9							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		r					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	,— ; — <del></del>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,—						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , , , ,						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution (PTO-152)							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• •		ed.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	•	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# · Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,343,857 to Schneider et al.
- 3. As to claims 1, 4-10, 15-17 and 19-21, Schneider teaches an apparatus comprising a catheter 23; a manifold 10; and a valve 20,19 disposed in the manifold, the valve being configured to selectively limit the withdrawal of air form the ventilation circuit, wherein the valve is movable between a first position and a second position (distal or proximal is irrelevant without clearly defining the spatial orientation; wherein the valve is a flap valve that is <u>fully capable</u> of being opened by the catheter in the following process:

-advancing catheter **23** past adapter **11** so that the catheter would be located outside the adapter (i.e., as shown in Fig. 3, moving the catheter to the left prior to connecting the adapter to the manifold);

-the catheter 23 will then be the first structure to engage valve 20,19 when the adapter and manifold are connected as per the modification above.

-therefore, the catheter, manifold and the valve will form an integrated unit (shown in Fig. 4) and would not a separate valve opening member.

Art Unit: 3731

Schneider also teaches the flap valve comprising at least one protrusion (tip of valve 20,19); wherein the apparatus further comprises a catch 19 to engage the flap as is drawn into the second, proximal position, and to retain the flap in the second position (member 19 engages flap 20, as seen in Fig. 2, and retains flap 20 in the closed, second position); wherein the flap 20 is pivotably connected to the manifold; wherein the flap is generally disk-shaped because the valve is located within port 15, which is circular; wherein the valve has an open position (as seen in Fig. 4) and a closed position (as seen in Fig. 2) wherein friction maintains the valve in the closed position; wherein the valve has an aperture (as seen in Figure 4, where the catheter 23 passes through) and the flap disposed to selectively cover the aperture; wherein the apparatus has a collar 33 disposed in the manifold having an aperture; wherein the collar including a port 33 for injecting liquid; wherein the apparatus further comprises a suction catheter 23 having distal end; a protective sleeve 22 surrounding the catheter; a manifold 10,11 connected to the protective sleeve and having means for accommodating inspiration and expiration of respiratory gases 13,14; and a valve 16 connected to the manifold and pivotally moveable with respect thereto for engaging the distal end of the catheter to minimize the amount of air being drawn thereto in responsive to suction through the catheter wherein the valve comprises a flap 20 and wherein the valve further comprises at least one protrusion on a surface of the valve that is fully capable of engaging a catheter inserted in the steps recited above; wherein the valve comprising a pivotable flap 20; wherein the wherein teaches a valve comprising an aperture (as seen in Figure 4, where the catheter 23 passes through); wherein the apparatus further comprises a

Art Unit: 3731

locking member 19 disposed in communication with the flap 20 for selectively preventing movement of the flap (as seen in Fig. 2, member 19 engages flap 20 and prevents further movement inwardly) and wherein the locking member comprises a projection extending inwardly, and wherein the locking member comprises a force-fit coupling between the flap and the catheter.

4. As to claims 11-14, Schneider teaches an endotracheal catheter system comprising a catheter 23 having a distal end; a ventilator manifold 10 disposed in communication with the catheter such that the catheter may be advanced through the manifold into the respiratory system of the patient and withdrawn from the respiratory system of the patient through the manifold; and a valve 16 for at least partially occluding the distal end of the catheter (see Fig. 4), the valve being configured to frictionally engage the distal end and thereby occlude the distal end; and wherein the valve is still fully capable of being opened by the catheter in the following process:

-advancing catheter **23** past the adapter **11** so that the catheter would be located outside the adapter (i.e., as shown in Fig. 3, moving the catheter to the left prior to connecting the adapter to the manifold);

-the catheter 23 will the be the first structure to engage the valve 20,19 when the adapter and manifold are connected as per the modification above.

-therefore, the catheter, manifold and the valve will form an integrated unit (shown in Fig. 4) and would not a separate valve opening member.

Schneider also teaches the valve comprising a flap 20 comprising at least one protrusion (see attached Figure) on at least one surface of the valve; wherein the flap

20 is configured to engage the distal end of the catheter via the protrusion (see Fig. 4); wherein the system further comprises a first wiper seal 24 and a second wiper seal 27 disposed about the catheter when the catheter is advanced in the manifold; wherein the catheter is retractable so that the distal end of the catheter is disposed proximally form the first wiper seal and distally from the second wiper seal.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al in view of US 6,168,758 to Forsberg et al.

Schneider fails to specifically teach the type of material the valve is constructed of. Forsberg et al. discloses a liquid assay device comprising a valve composed of polyether block amides (col. 6. lines 38-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any well known material, including the polyether block amides of Forsberg et al. or the recited limitations of the claims, because it is a mere substitution of one valve material for another. Also, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of choice. Sinclair & Carroll Co. V. Interchemical Corp., 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945) also note In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Art Unit: 3731

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 18 is allowed over the prior art of record.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/13/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant's arguments are directed towards the Examiner's interpretation of the Schneider reference. It was argued that the Schneider reference does not anticipate the claims. However, as stated by the applicant in page 8 of the remarks, "apparatus claims must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function". As such, Schneider teaches all the recited structural elements that are rejected under 102. As shown above, Schneider teaches a catheter, a manifold, a flap valve having a protrusion. The applicant argues that the protrusion taught by Schneider is not the same as the protrusion recited in the claims. However, the claim merely states a general "protrusion", which is any structure that protrudes irrespective of the shape. Thus the protrusions on the end portion of valve 19, 20 is viewed as a protrusion.

As to the arguments regarding the operability of the Schneider device in view of the Examiner's interpretation of the device, it is noted that in an anticipation rejection, only the structural limitations are given patentable weight and not the function of the prior art device. Therefore, Schneider teaches all the structural limitations rejected under USC 102 and that the structural elements of Schneider are fully capable of performing the recited function. The rejection under USC 102 above clearly states how

Art Unit: 3731

the Schneider device is able to perform the recited functions and is not a true 103 modification. It merely explains how to manipulate the recited structural limitations to perform the recited function. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

de